UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/797,210	03/10/2004	Mark R. Adler	042933/273558	4173
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 11/24/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
	ERICA PLAZA	NGUYEN, TU X		
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		11/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,210	ADLER, MARK R.		
Examiner	A (11 14		
Examiner	Art Unit		

T	U X. NGUYEN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFF periods:	lies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing datb. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shot set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount of tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	deration and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corn NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			10 133403 101
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow 			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	-	-
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a N entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation o	f the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do In response to Applicant argue Black's controller, however, multimedia object. The controller may receive an instructio that this instruction is a coded tone representative of a separation.	does not generate any coded to n from the voice recognition syst arate multimedia object, the Exar	ne representative of a em, but nowhere doe miner disagrees, Blac	separate Black disclose k disclose the
processor 60 receive commands such as stop, pause, forw 170 (coded tone), instructs the CPU to drive the representation.			<u>nition system</u>
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PT 13. ☐ Other:	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Tu X Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081119